

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 6/3/2019 11:08:04 AM

First name: Mike

Last name: Story

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1: Box 55

Address2:

City: Emigrant

State:

Province/Region: MT

Zip/Postal Code: 59027

Country: United States

Email: elkmstory@yahoo.com

Phone: 4062231548

Comments:

Alternative E

I believe less wilderness is the best option or leave the plan as is.

Wilderness will never be 100% achieved because of invasive plants and human interaction.

it is best managed on a case by case and drainage by drainage policy that allow for true and useful management by all share holders of public land.

I have been fighting fire in several wildernesses because of any number of political reasons like personal property to much smoke in the air.

the forest can always put a plan of roadless area any where they choose without turning thousands of acres into non touch management.

The idea that we need more wilderness is pushed by people that believe the forest service is not managing correctly and that the land will revert back to a time when the environment was healthy before America industrialized and started using the renewable resource.

In my opinion the land will never be unable to stand on it's own without some form of management

I would prefer alternative E